1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	SACRAMENTO DIVISION
7	
8	
9) In re) Case No. 06-23133-A-13G
10) ANGELL and KIMBERLY MAYFIELD,) Docket Control No. RDG-2
11)) Date: October 30, 2006
12	Debtors.) Time: 9:00 a.m.
13)
14	On October 30, 2006 at 9:00 a.m., the court considered the objection to confirmation of the chapter 13 trustee. The court's
15	ruling on the objection is appended to the minutes of the hearing. Because that ruling constitutes a "reasoned
16	explanation" of the court's decision, it is also posted on the court's Internet site, <u>www.caeb.uscourts.gov,</u> in a text-
17 18	searchable format as required by the E-Government Act of 2002. The official record, however, remains the ruling appended to the minutes of the hearing.
19	Final Ruling
20	The objection will be sustained.
21	The debtor is required by 11 U.S.C. § 1326(a)(4) to provide
22	proof of insurance covering personal property subject to a lease
23	or that is security for a purchase money debt within 60 days of
24	the filing of the petition.
25	Further, the plan provides:
26	"Debtor shall maintain insurance as required by any law or
27	contract and Debtor shall provide evidence of that insurance as
28	required by section 1326(a)(4)."

 This petition was filed on August 16. The 60-day period has
 thus lapsed. The trustee reports that the debtor has not
 provided proof that the debtor's vehicles are insured.

Further, the debtor owns a home that is encumbered by a
mortgage held by Homeq. The documentation appended to its
September 18 proof of claim indicates that the debtor must insure
the home. Once again, the debtor has not provided proof of the
insurance.

9 Therefore, the court concludes that the debtor has not 10 complied with section 1326(a)(4), has breached the terms of the 11 debtor's contracts with the lenders secured by the vehicles and 12 the home, has breached the proposed plan, and has failed to 13 discharge the duties imposed by 11 U.S.C. § 521(a)(3) & (a)(4). 14 All of the foregoing indicates that the plan is not feasible and 15 that the debtor has failed to adequately protect the interests of 16 secured creditors in their collateral.

-2-